

Measuring HIV stigma and discrimination

TECHNICAL BRIEF
>> UPDATED JULY 2017

Authors: Anne L. Stangl, Laura Brady and Katherine Fritz – International Center for Research on Women, Washington, DC

This brief is designed to guide researchers in the study of HIV-related stigma and discrimination, either as the main focus of research or as a complement to related topics. It outlines the key domains of HIV-related stigma and discrimination that need to be measured if we are to understand how stigma operates and how it can be reduced in a particular setting. The brief proposes specific questions for measuring the key conceptual domains of stigma and discrimination across three populations: people living with HIV, the general population and healthcare providers. It lists areas requiring further question development, testing and validation.

HIV-related stigma and discrimination continue to be experienced across the globe, impeding access to and scale-up of HIV prevention, treatment, care and support programmes.ⁱ In 1987, HIV stigma was described as the ‘third epidemic’,¹ coming after those of HIV and AIDS and no less crucial. While many individuals, organisations and governments have worked diligently to reduce HIV-related stigma and discrimination, such efforts are not implemented at a scale necessary to have a significant impact on HIV outcomes, thus stigma continues to fuel HIV transmission.²

A large body of research has been conducted to conceptualise HIV stigma, explore its forms, contexts and consequences and understand individual and community responses.ⁱⁱ This research has yielded a large number of survey questions and scales to measure stigma in a variety of cultural contexts and with various populations, including people living with HIV, the general population and healthcare workers.^{iii-vi} The sheer number and diversity of questions and scales used in stigma research over the years, however, have made it difficult to compare findings across contexts. To be able to characterise stigma as a global driver of HIV infection, it is necessary to measure it more uniformly and accurately. This brief presents recommendations for doing so. The measures presented here were developed by a consortium of stigma researchers³ and are based on a systematic review and synthesis of the research literature.


Key conceptual domains

The new framework⁴ in Figure 1 illustrates how stigma is manifested across the socio-ecological spectrum to produce key opportunities and areas for intervention as well as its impacts and outcomes. The framework illustrates and expands upon three key areas for intervention development:

- 1) actionable drivers and facilitators, 2) stigma ‘marking’, and
- 3) stigma manifestations.



Figure 1. Reducing HIV stigma and discrimination: A framework for programme implementation and measurement^{viii}



The first refers to factors that drive or facilitate HIV stigma. They are described as ‘actionable’ because they have been shown to shift as a result of interventions. Drivers, such as fear of infection through casual contact and social judgment, are conceptualised as inherently negative, while facilitators could have either positive or negative influences – for example, laws that criminalise HIV can fuel stigma and discrimination, whereas those that protect the rights of people living with HIV may reduce discrimination. Drivers and facilitators are expressed through different stigma ‘marking’, i.e. intersecting stigmas such as sexuality, drug use, race, etc. This leads to a number of manifestations of HIV stigma such as discrimination, shame and internalised stigma, which influence the outcomes and impacts of stigma in a given context. The framework is based on the assumption that any individual can anticipate, experience and/or perpetuate HIV-related stigma and

discrimination, regardless of his or her own HIV status. While the framework is specific to HIV stigma, it recognizes that HIV stigma often co-occurs with other, intersecting stigmas, such as those related to sexual orientation, gender, drug use and poverty.

Among the actionable drivers and facilitators, key conceptual domains for measurement include:

- fear of infection through casual contact with people living with HIV
- social judgment, including shame, blame, prejudice and stereotypes
- the legal and policy environment.

Fear and social judgment are well-documented drivers of stigmatising behaviours among the general population and healthcare workers and should be measured with those groups.^{ix-xiii} To measure the domain described as 'legal and policy environment', researchers need to identify the laws, institutional policies and social norms that may either increase or reduce stigma and discrimination towards people living with HIV and towards key populations, (such as sex workers, men who have sex with men, people who use drugs, migrants, prisoners and women). It is important to know whether these regulations are enforced and the level of awareness of these regulations among employees of relevant institutions, HIV-affected populations and the general population.

Specific measures for research and evaluation

Among the manifestations of stigma, key conceptual domains for measurement include:

- anticipated stigma (the fear of negative ramifications should one's HIV status become known, should one associate with a person living with HIV or should one test positive for HIV)
- perceived stigma (community members' perception of stigma that is directed toward people living with HIV by community members)
- internalised stigma (the acceptance among people living with HIV of negative beliefs and feelings associated with HIV about themselves)
- experienced stigma (the experience of discrimination, based on HIV status or association with a person living with HIV or other stigmatised group, that falls *outside* the purview of the law⁵)
- discrimination (the experience of discrimination that falls *within* the purview of the law⁶)
- resilience (overcoming and resisting stigma and discrimination experienced).^{viii}

Table 1 presents illustrative questions that can be asked to assess each domain of stigma by target population (general population, healthcare workers and people living with HIV). It is recommended that researchers assess all conceptual domains of HIV stigma that are relevant to their target population to reach a comprehensive understanding of HIV stigma and discrimination and of the impact of specific stigma-reduction activities on the stigmatisation process.

Additional questions are available^v for each domain and may be needed, depending on the type of stigma-reduction intervention being evaluated. It is also recommended that researchers include several questions per domain, where possible, in order to allow for the development of scales, which may be more robust than individual questions in statistical analyses. Also, researchers should ask parallel questions across these three populations to allow for comparison; 'parallel' because it is not always appropriate or possible to ask exactly the same question across all three populations. For example, under the parallel domains of perceived and experienced stigma, community members would be asked about their perceptions of stigmatising behaviours towards people living with HIV in the community, whereas people living with HIV would be asked about their actual experiences of stigma.

Methodology

Questions to assess stigma and discrimination in the general population can be included in large, population-based surveys using multi-stage cluster samples, such as the Demographic and Health Surveys, to enable generalisability. It should be noted that people living with HIV are a part of the general population, and can be expected to make up a greater or smaller portion of the sample depending on location. For health facilities, sampling procedures are typically based on the size of the facilities being assessed. For example, in a large hospital, a random sample of healthcare workers could be selected. Alternatively, a census of all healthcare workers may be needed for small, rural clinics.

Large studies of people living with HIV and key populations have been conducted using community-based participatory processes to maximise participation. Snowball or time-location sampling can also be used to survey people living with HIV, while respondent driven sampling (RDS) may be used to access key populations, assuming the populations of interest are sufficiently networked. Results from these sampling techniques are inherently biased, however, as it is not possible to survey people living with HIV who have not disclosed to anyone. Likewise, individuals who do not identify with a key population or those who are not networked will not be captured with RDS. When possible, researchers are advised to complement quantitative data collection with qualitative methods (such as in-depth interviews, focus group discussion and participatory action research methods) to allow for a more comprehensive understanding of HIV stigma and discrimination in a given setting.



Table 1. Illustrative questions by domain of HIV stigma and discrimination

DOMAIN	GENERAL POPULATION	HEALTHCARE WORKERS*	PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV**
Fear of infection	Do you fear that you could contract HIV if you come into contact with the saliva of a person living with HIV?	<p>Worry of contracting HIV while working with people living with HIV; ranging from non-invasive (touching clothing) to invasive (drawing blood). Measures nine different situations (items).</p> <p>How worried would you be about getting HIV if you did the following?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Touched the clothing of a patient living with HIV • Dressed the wounds of a patient living with HIV • Drew blood from a patient living with HIV • Took the temperature of a patient living with HIV <p>Do you typically use any of the following measures when providing care or services for a patient living with HIV?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid physical contact • Wear double gloves • Wear gloves during all aspects of the patient's care • Use any special infection-control measures with patients living with HIV that you do not use with other patients 	Not applicable.
Social judgment	<p>Do you agree or disagree with the following statement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I would be ashamed if someone in my family had HIV 	<p>Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most people living with HIV do not care if they infect other people. • People living with HIV should feel ashamed of themselves. • Most people living with HIV have had many sexual partners. • People get infected with HIV because they engage in irresponsible behaviours. • HIV is punishment for bad behaviour. • Women living with HIV should be allowed to have babies if they wish. 	Did fears about how other people (for example, your friends, family, employer, or community) would respond if you tested positive make you hesitate to get tested?
Legal and policy environment	The National Composite Policy Index (NCPI), developed by UNAIDS to measure progress in the development and implementation of national level HIV and AIDS policies, strategies and laws, is reported routinely by all UN member countries. UNDP and the Global Fund have supported countries to undertake Legal Environment Assessments (LEAs). The LEA is a valuable tool designed to assist governments in identifying human rights barriers and how they affect HIV, TB and/or malaria, including key populations, and provides a gateway to action for strengthened legal and policy environments.	<p>Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In my facility it is not acceptable to test a patient for HIV without their knowledge. • I will get in trouble at work if I discriminate against patients living with HIV. • There are adequate supplies in my health facility that reduce my risk of becoming infected with HIV. • There are standardised procedures/protocols in my health facility that reduce my risk of becoming infected with HIV. • My health facility has written guidelines to protect patients living with HIV from discrimination. 	Do you know if there are any laws in your country to protect people living with HIV from discrimination?

* These questions are currently being piloted by the Health Policy Project and partners and are thus illustrative at present.

** These questions are currently collected in The People Living with HIV Stigma Index tool (www.stigmaindex.org).

DOMAIN	GENERAL POPULATION	HEALTHCARE WORKERS*	PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV**
Anticipated stigma	In your opinion, are people hesitant to take an HIV test due to fear of people's reaction if the test result is positive for HIV?	How hesitant are healthcare workers in this facility to work alongside a coworker living with HIV, regardless of their duties?	<p>Did fears about how other people (for example, your friends, family, employer, or community) would respond if you tested HIV-positive make you hesitate to get tested? Yes/No</p> <p>In the last 12 months, have you been fearful of any of the following things happening to you – whether or not they actually have happened to you?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Being gossiped about • Being verbally insulted, harassed and/or threatened • Being physically harassed and/or threatened • Being physically assaulted
Internalized stigma †	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is difficult to tell people about my HIV infection • Being HIV positive makes me feel dirty • I feel guilty that I am HIV positive • I am ashamed that I am HIV positive • I sometimes feel worthless because I am HIV positive • I hide my HIV status from others
Perceived stigma	<p>Do people talk badly about people living with or thought to be living with HIV to others?</p> <p>Do people living with or thought to be living with HIV lose respect or standing?</p>	<p>In the past 12 months, how often have you observed the following in your health facility?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Healthcare workers unwilling to care for a patient living with or thought to be living with HIV • Healthcare workers providing poorer quality of care to a patient living with or thought to be living with HIV, relative to other patients • Healthcare workers talking badly about people living with or thought to be living with HIV 	<p>In the last 12 months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have you felt excluded from social gatherings or activities (e.g. weddings, funerals, parties, clubs) because of your HIV status? • Have you felt excluded from religious activities or places of worship because of your HIV status? • Have you felt excluded from family activities because of your HIV status? • Have you felt that family members have made discriminatory remarks or gossiped about you because of your HIV status?

† Parallel questions for the general population and health care providers can be found under the 'social judgment' domain.

†† This is one example of experienced stigma that people living with HIV may experience. The People Living with HIV Stigma Index asks about a number of additional types of experienced stigma.

DOMAIN	GENERAL POPULATION	HEALTHCARE WORKERS*	PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV**
Experienced stigma (outside legal purview)	Would you buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor if you knew that this person had HIV?	<p>In the past 12 months, how often have you:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Experienced people talking badly about you because you care for patients living with HIV Been avoided by friends and family because you care for patients living with HIV Been avoided by colleagues because of your work caring for patients living with HIV 	<p>In the past 12 months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has someone physically harassed you (e.g. pushed, hit or was otherwise physically abusive) because of your HIV status? Have you been refused employment or a work opportunity because of your HIV status? Have you lost a source of income or job because of your HIV status? Has your job description or the nature of your job changed or have you been refused a promotion because of your HIV status? Has your wife/husband or partner experienced discrimination because of your HIV status?
Discrimination (inside legal purview)	<p>Do you think children living with HIV should be able to attend school with children who are HIV negative?</p> <p>In your opinion, if a female teacher has HIV but is not sick, should she be allowed to continue teaching in the school?</p>	<p>In the past 12 months, how often have you observed other healthcare providers?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performing an HIV test on a pregnant woman without her informed consent Neglecting a woman living with HIV during labor and delivery because of her HIV status Using additional infection-control procedures (e.g., double gloves) with a pregnant woman living with HIV during labor and delivery because of her HIV status? Disclosing the status of a pregnant woman living with HIV to others without her consent? Making HIV treatment for a woman living with HIV conditional on her use of family planning methods? 	<p>In the past 12 months, have you done any of the following because you are HIV-positive?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confronted, challenged or educated someone who was stigmatizing and/or discriminating against a person living with HIV Supported others living with HIV in relation to stigma and/or discrimination (such as, helping someone take action, referring someone to a source of help, providing emotional or practical support for someone, etc.) Participated in an organisation or group that works to address stigma and discrimination against people living with HIV Tried to get a community leader to take action about issues of stigma and discrimination against people living with HIV Tried to get a government leader or a local/national politician to take action about issues of stigma and discrimination against people living with HIV Spoke to the media about issues of stigma and discrimination against people living with HIV

DOMAIN	GENERAL POPULATION	HEALTHCARE WORKERS*	PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV**
Resilience	Not applicable.	<p>In the past 12 months, how often have you observed the following in your health facility?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Healthcare workers confronting or educating someone who was mistreating or speaking badly about people living with HIV 	<p>In the last 12 months, have you confronted, challenged or educated someone who was stigmatising and/or discriminating against you?</p> <p>In the last 12 months, have you supported people living with HIV?</p> <p>If yes, what types of support did you provide?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Emotional support Physical support Referral to other services

Additional research needed

Now that validated measures are available to assess most of the domains of HIV-related stigma and discrimination among the general population, health care workers and people living with HIV, it is critical that researchers utilise these measures to rigorously examine the relationship between stigma and discrimination reduction efforts and HIV outcomes. All too often researchers assess only one domain of stigma, which leads to inconsistent and incomplete evidence about the success or failure of stigma-reduction efforts. In this new era of shrinking development funds and a global push for more strategic AIDS investments,^{xiv} strong evidence linking stigma reduction with positive HIV and health outcomes is needed to ensure that countries include stigma reduction as a key component in their national HIV strategies.

With regard to stigma measurement, few measures are available to assess the law and policy environment that either reinforces and perpetuates stigma or challenges it. At present, the measures available in this domain only assess the presence and awareness of laws and policies related to people living with HIV and key populations. More research is needed to develop and test measures that capture other aspects of this domain, such as the level of representation of people living with HIV and affected populations in governance structures and the inclusion of sensitivity and stigma and discrimination reduction training in curricula for medical students, teachers, media and police.

Among the manifestations of stigma at the individual level, measures to assess resilience among people who experience HIV-related stigma and discrimination are also lacking. It will be important to develop appropriate measures to capture this domain, in order to reach a better understanding of the response to stigma among affected communities. Another measurement area needing further development is the intersection of HIV stigma with other stigmas affecting key populations at risk of HIV infection. Intervention research suggests that HIV stigma-reduction programmes may be more effective if they also address intersecting stigmas, particularly in targeted epidemic contexts. For example, in Vietnam, the stigma associated with injection drug use is fairly high and drug use is inextricably linked with HIV. Thus, interventions addressing HIV stigma alone have not effected significant reductions in stigmatising attitudes at the community level.^{ix, xiii} It is critical to develop and test measures and methodologies to assess the intersection of HIV and other stigmas faced by key populations to enable evaluation of multi-faceted stigma reduction interventions.

Lastly, as standardised questions begin to be asked across the general population, healthcare workers, people living with HIV and key populations, it will be important to develop appropriate analytical methods to compare and interpret this data. It is thought that comparisons of responses will provide a more accurate reflection of the level of stigma and discrimination in a given setting. However, more research is needed to develop a standardised methodology to compare data across these three populations.

Endnotes

1. By Jonathan Mann, then director of the WHO Global Programme on AIDS, in a statement at an informal briefing on AIDS to the 42nd Session of the United Nations General Assembly, 20 October, New York.
2. It has been estimated that 26–53% of vertical HIV transmissions may be attributed to stigma (Watts C, Zimmerman C, Eckhaus T, Nyblade L. Stigma and discrimination as an important barrier to universal access to PMTCT: model projections. Poster session presented at: International AIDS Conference (IAS); 2010 July, Vienna Austria).
3. The Global Stigma and Discrimination Indicator Working Group (GSDIWG) involves experts from 17 organisations led by a partnership between the Global Network of People Living with HIV (GNP+), the International Center for Research on Women (ICRW), International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF), John Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health (JHU) and The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS).
4. Developed by GSDIWG, informed by current knowledge and best practice [iii, vi, ix-xiii] and presented in full elsewhere (forthcoming).
5. Examples of discrimination that fall outside the purview of the law include: blaming, discrediting, gossip, verbal harassment, avoiding everyday contact, ostracism and abandonment.
6. Examples of discrimination that fall within the purview of the law include: being fired from a job due to HIV-positive status, denial of access to school for children living with HIV, denial of access to healthcare services and physical violence.

References

- i. UNAIDS. *Reduction of HIV-related stigma and discrimination*. Geneva, Switzerland: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS); 2014. pp. 1-18.
- ii. Mahajan AP, Sayles JN, Patel VA, Remien RH, Sawires SR, Ortiz DJ, et al. Stigma in the HIV/AIDS epidemic: a review of the literature and recommendations for the way forward. *AIDS*, 2008; 22(Supplement 2):S67–S79.
- iii. Earnshaw VA., Chaudoir SR. 'From Conceptualizing to Measuring HIV Stigma: A Review of HIV Stigma Mechanism Measures'. *AIDS and behavior*, 13.6 (2009): 1160–1177.
- iv. Zelaya CE, Sivaram S, Sethulakshmi CJ, Srikrishnan AK, Solomon S, Celentano DD. HIV/AIDS Stigma: Reliability and Validity of a New Measurement Instrument in Chennai, India. *AIDS Behav*; 2008; 12(5):781-788.
- v. Nyblade L, MacQuarrie K, Phillip F, Kwesigabo G, Mbwapo JK, Ndega J, et al. *Measuring HIV Stigma: Results of a Field Test in Tanzania*. In. Washington, DC: The Synergy Project; International Center for Research on Women (ICRW); 2005.
- vi. Harapan, H et al. 'HIV-related stigma and discrimination: A study of health care workers in Banda Aceh, Indonesia'. *Medical Journal of Indonesia*, 22(1) (2013). pp. 23-29.
- vii. Stangl A, Carr D, Brady L, Eckhaus T, Claeson M, Nyblade L. *Tackling HIV-Related Stigma and Discrimination in South Asia*. Washington, DC: World Bank; 2010.
- viii. A. Stangl, V. Go, C. Zelaya, L. Brady, L. Nyblade, L. Stackpool-Moore et al. 'Enabling the Scale-up of Efforts to Reduce HIV Stigma and Discrimination: A New Framework to Inform Program Implementation and Measurement'. Poster presented at IAS 2010.
- ix. Nyblade L, Hong KT, Anh NV, Ogden J, Jain A, Stangl A, et al. 'Communities Confront HIV Stigma in Viet Nam: Participatory Interventions Reduce HIV-Related Stigma in Two Provinces'. Washington, DC; Hanoi, Vietnam: International Center for Research on Women (ICRW); Institute for Social Development Studies (ISDS); 2008. pp. 1-37.
- x. Nyblade L, Stangl A, Weiss E, Ashburn K. Combating HIV Stigma in Health Care Settings: What Works? *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 2009; 12(1):15.
- xi. Nyblade L, Jain A, Benkirane M, Li L, Lohiniva AL, McLean R, Turan JM, Varas-Díaz N, Cintrón-Bou F, Guan J, Kwena Z. 'A brief, standardized tool for measuring HIV-related stigma among health facility staff: results of field testing in China, Dominica, Egypt, Kenya, Puerto Rico and St. Christopher & Nevis'. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 2013;16(3Suppl 2).
- xii. Ekstrand, Maria L et al. 'Prevalence and Drivers of HIV Stigma among Health Providers in Urban India: Implications for Interventions'. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 16.3Suppl 2 (2013): 18717.
- xiii. Stangl AL, Lloyd JK, Brady LM, Holland CE, Baral S. A systematic review of interventions to reduce HIV-related stigma and discrimination from 2002 to 2013: how far have we come?. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 2013;16(3Suppl 2).

Suggested citation

Stangl, A., Brady, L., Fritz, K., STRIVE Technical Brief: Measuring HIV stigma and discrimination; International Center for Research on Women, Washington D.C., USA; 2017

The brief was supported by UKaid from the Department for International Development. However, the views expressed do not necessarily reflect the department's official policies.

